

**UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE****United States Patent and Trademark Office**

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
09/253,117	02/19/99	KIRALY	ASCI-006

WM01/0912

WAGNER MURABITO & HAO
TWO NORTH MARKET STREET
THIRD FLOOR
SAN JOSE CA 95113

EXAMINER

BROWN, R

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2611

DATE MAILED: 09/12/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Interview Summary

Application No.
09/253,117

Applicant(s)
Kiraly

Examiner
Reuben Brown

Group Art Unit
2611



All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Reuben Brown

(3) _____

(2) Jose Garcia

(4) _____

Date of Interview Sep 7, 2001

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy is given to 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No. If yes, brief description:

Claim(s) discussed: 1

Identification of prior art discussed:

Fujita & Boswell

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

Discussed the 112, 1st paragraph rejection. Applicant brought several passages from the specification to examiner's attention, including pg. 5, lines 10-18; pg. 6, lines 3-5; pg. 11, lines 27 & pg. 15, lines 16-19.

Discussed the 103 rejection. Applicant contends that Boswell is limited to only concurrently receiving and transmitting different files, A & B. Whereas the present invention concurrently receives and transmits the same file.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

i) ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).

Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

JOHN W. MILLER
PATENT EXAMINER